

No. 996-4Lab-76/5451.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Ahuja Metal Industries, Anaj Mandi, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 19 of 1975

between

SHRI SHAMSHER, SON OF SHRI TILAK RAJ, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S
AHUJA METAL INDUSTRIES, ANAJ MANDI, JAGADHRI

AWARD

By order No. ID/AMB/378-A-75/19885, dated 4th April, 1975, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Ahuja Metal Industries, Anaj Mandi, Jagadhri and its workman Shri Shamsher, son of Tilak Raj to this Labour Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shamsher, son of Tilak Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties appeared in this Court in response to the notice of reference sent to them.

Shri Surinder Kumar authorised representative for the workman made a statement on 14th January, 1976 withdrawing the demand leading to the reference on the ground that the dispute referred to this Court had been mutually settled.

It would thus appear that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication, I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of my findings made above.

Dated, the 15th January, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Rohtak.

No. 984-4Lab-76/5499.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Accurex Optica Industries, Model Town, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 53 of 1975

between

SHRI BANSI LAL SEHGAL, WORKMAN AND MANAGEMENT OF M/S ACCUREX
OPTICA INDUSTRIES, MODEL TOWN, JAGADHRI

AWARD

By order No. ID/AMB/220-E-75/37312, dated 30th June, 1975, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Bansi Lal Sehgal and the management of M/s Accurex Optica Industries, Model Town, Jagadhri to this court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Bansi Lal Sehgal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties appeared in this court in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them.

Shri Surinder Kumar authorised representative for the workman made a statement on 13th January, 1976 withdrawing the demand raised by the workman on the management leading to this reference, on the ground that the same had been satisfied.

It would thus appear that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of my findings made above.

Dated, the 15th January, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 201, dated 24th January, 1976.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 982-4Lab-76/5501.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Joga Metal Works, Jagadhri (Ambala).

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 13 of 1974

between

SHRI TIRATH SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JOGA METAL
WORKS, JAGADHRI (AMBALA)

AWARD

By order No. ID/AMB/247-C-73/4964, dated 27th February, 1974, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Joga Metal Works, Jagadhri (Ambala) and its workman Shri Tirath Singh to this Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Tirath Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties put in their appearance in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them and filed their pleadings giving rise to the issue in terms of the dispute as stated above.

Shri Madhu Sudan Saran Cowshish authorised representative for the workman however did not appear on 13th January, 1976 despite being directed to do so and adduce his evidence with the result that *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against the workman on that date.

Shri Subhash Chand authorised representative for the management relied on the settlement Ex. M-2 and the withdrawal of the demand made by the workman in writing Ex. M-2 and made his *ex parte* statement that the workman had,—*vide* these documents withdrawn all his claims leading to this reference.

I see no reason to disbelieve Shri Subhash Chander particularly when the workman has suffered *ex parte* proceedings and taken no care to defend the demand.

I thus relying on the statement of Shri Subhash Chander hold that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of my findings made above.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Dated the 15th January, 1976.